E. MARTIN ESTRADA FILED United States Attorney CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT BENJAMIN J. BARRON 6/29/2023 Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office 3 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CHARLES E. PELL (Cal. State Bar No. 210309) DEPUTY BY: Assistant United States Attorney 4 Santa Ana Branch Office United States Courthouse 5 411 West Fourth Street, Suite 8000 Santa Ana, California 92701 6 Telephone: (714) 338-3542 Facsimile: (714) 338-3561 7 E-mail: charles.e.pell2@usdoj.gov 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 10 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 11 SOUTHERN DIVISION 12 No. 2:23-cr-00323-JFW UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 13 PLEA AGREEMENT FOR DEFENDANT 14 Plaintiff, VANETDA CHAVETT WARD 15 V. 16 VANETDA CHAVETT WARD, Defendant. 17 18 This constitutes the plea agreement between VANETDA CHAVETT 19 WARD ("defendant") and the United States Attorney's Office for the 20 Central District of California (the "USAO") in the investigation of 21 fraud against Bank of America and California EDD. This agreement is 2.2 limited to the USAO and cannot bind any other federal, state, local, 23 or foreign prosecuting, enforcement, administrative, or regulatory 24 authorities. 25 DEFENDANT'S OBLIGATIONS 26

Give up the right to indictment by a grand jury and,

27

28

2.

Defendant agrees to:

at the earliest opportunity requested by the USAO and provided by the Court, appear and plead guilty to a two-count information in the form attached to this agreement as Exhibit A or a substantially similar form, which charges defendant with Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1349 (count one) and identity theft in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7), (b)(1)(D) (count two).

- b. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- c. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- d. Appear for all court appearances, surrender as ordered for service of sentence, obey all conditions of any bond, and obey any other ongoing court order in this matter.
- e. Not commit any crime; however, offenses that would be excluded for sentencing purposes under United States Sentencing Guidelines ("U.S.S.G." or "Sentencing Guidelines") § 4A1.2(c) are not within the scope of this agreement.
- f. Be truthful at all times with the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court.
- g. Pay the applicable special assessments at or before the time of sentencing unless defendant has demonstrated a lack of ability to pay such assessments.
- h. Defendant agrees that any and all criminal debt ordered by the Court will be due once the period of supervised release commences. The government is not precluded from pursuing, in excess of any payment schedule set by the Court, any and all available remedies by which to satisfy defendant's payment of the full financial obligation, including referral to the Treasury Offset Program.

- i. Complete the Financial Disclosure Statement on a form provided by the USAO and, within 30 days of defendant's entry of a guilty plea, deliver the signed and dated statement, along with all of the documents requested therein, to the USAO by either email at usacac.FinLit@usdoj.gov (preferred) or mail to the USAO Financial Litigation Section at 300 North Los Angeles Street, Suite 7516, Los Angeles, CA 90012. Defendant agrees that defendant's ability to pay criminal debt shall be assessed based on the completed Financial Disclosure Statement and all required supporting documents, as well as other relevant information relating to ability to pay.
- j. Authorize the USAO to obtain a credit report upon returning a signed copy of this plea agreement.
- k. Consent to the USAO inspecting and copying all of defendant's financial documents and financial information held by the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office.

THE USAO'S OBLIGATIONS

3. The USAO agrees to:

- a. Not contest facts agreed to in this agreement.
- b. Abide by all agreements regarding sentencing contained in this agreement.
- c. At the time of sentencing, provided that defendant demonstrates an acceptance of responsibility for the offenses up to and including the time of sentencing, recommend a two-level reduction in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines offense level, pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1, and recommend and, if necessary, move for an additional one-level reduction if available under that section.
- d. Recommend that defendant be sentenced to a term of imprisonment no higher than the low end of the applicable Sentencing

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Guidelines range, provided that the offense level used by the Court to determine that range is 18 or higher and provided that the Court does not depart downward in offense level or criminal history category. For purposes of this agreement, the low end of the Sentencing Guidelines range is that defined by the Sentencing Table in U.S.S.G. Chapter 5, Part A, without regard to reductions in the term of imprisonment that may be permissible through the substitution of community confinement or home detention as a result of the offense level falling within Zone B or Zone C of the Sentencing Table.

NATURE OF THE OFFENSES

Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of 4. the crime charged in count one of the information, that is, Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, the following must be true: (1) there was an agreement between two or more persons to commit bank fraud in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344(2); and (2) defendant became a member of the conspiracy knowing of at least one of its objects and intending to help accomplish it. Defendant understands that the elements of the charged object of the conspiracy, Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1344(2), are the following: (1) Defendant knowingly carried out a scheme or plan to obtain money or property from a financial institution by making false statements or promises; (2) Defendant knew that the statements or promises were false; (3) The statements or promises were material; that is, they had a natural tendency to influence, or were capable of influencing, a financial institution to part with money or property; (4) Defendant acted with the intent to defraud; and (5) The financial institution was federally insured.

5. Defendant understands that for defendant to be guilty of the crime charged in count two of the information, that is, identity theft, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 1028(a)(7) and (b)(1)(D), the following must be true: (1) defendant knowingly possessed and used a means of identification of another person; (2) defendant did so without lawful authority; (3) defendant intended to commit bank fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1344; and (4) in the course of use or possession, the means of identification was transported in the mail. For the heightened statutory maximum in Section 1028(b)(1)(D) to apply, the government must prove that the offense involved the transfer, possession, or use of one or more means of identification where any individual who committed the offense obtained anything of value aggregating \$1,000 or more during any one year period.

PENALTIES AND RESTITUTION

- 6. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, as charged in count one of the indictment, is 30 years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$1,000,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.
- 7. Defendant understands that the statutory maximum sentence that the Court can impose for a violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1028(a)(7), (b)(1)(D), is: 15 years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offense, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$100.

- 8. Defendant understands, therefore, that the total maximum sentence for all offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty is: 45 years' imprisonment; a three-year period of supervised release; a fine of \$1,250,000 or twice the gross gain or gross loss resulting from the offenses, whichever is greatest; and a mandatory special assessment of \$200.
- Defendant understands that defendant will be required to 9. pay full restitution to the victim(s) of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant agrees that, in return for the USAO's compliance with its obligations under this agreement, the Court may order restitution to persons other than the victim(s) of the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty and in amounts greater than those alleged in the counts to which defendant is pleading guilty. In particular, defendant agrees that the Court may order restitution to any victim of any of the following for any losses suffered by that victim as a result: any relevant conduct, as defined in U.S.S.G. § 1B1.3, in connection with the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. The parties currently believe that the applicable amount of restitution is approximately \$185,004.41, but recognize and agree that this amount could change based on facts that come to the attention of the parties prior to sentencing.
- of time following imprisonment during which defendant will be subject to various restrictions and requirements. Defendant understands that if defendant violates one or more of the conditions of any supervised release imposed, defendant may be returned to prison for all or part of the term of supervised release authorized by statute for the offense that resulted in the term of supervised release, which could

result in defendant serving a total term of imprisonment greater than the statutory maximum stated above.

2.1

2.5

- may be giving up valuable government benefits and valuable civic rights, such as the right to vote, the right to possess a firearm, the right to hold office, and the right to serve on a jury.

 Defendant understands that she is pleading guilty to a felony and that it is a federal crime for a convicted felon to possess a firearm or ammunition. Defendant understands that the convictions in this case may also subject defendant to various other collateral consequences, including but not limited to revocation of probation, parole, or supervised release in another case and suspension or revocation of a professional license. Defendant understands that unanticipated collateral consequences will not serve as grounds to withdraw defendant's guilty pleas.
- 12. Defendant and her counsel have discussed the fact that, and defendant understands that, if defendant is not a United States citizen, the convictions in this case make it practically inevitable and a virtual certainty that defendant will be removed or deported from the United States. Defendant may also be denied United States citizenship and admission to the United States in the future. Defendant understands that while there may be arguments that defendant can raise in immigration proceedings to avoid or delay removal, removal is presumptively mandatory and a virtual certainty in this case. Defendant further understands that removal and immigration consequences are the subject of a separate proceeding and that no one, including her attorney or the Court, can predict to an absolute certainty the effect of her convictions on her immigration

status. Defendant nevertheless affirms that she wants to plead guilty regardless of any immigration consequences that her pleas may entail, even if the consequence is automatic removal from the United States.

FACTUAL BASIS

13. Defendant admits that defendant is, in fact, guilty of the offenses to which defendant is agreeing to plead guilty. Defendant and the USAO agree to the statement of facts provided below and agree that this statement of facts is sufficient to support pleas of guilty to the charges described in this agreement and to establish the Sentencing Guidelines factors set forth in paragraph 15 below but is not meant to be a complete recitation of all facts relevant to the underlying criminal conduct or all facts known to either party that relate to that conduct.

From in or around June 2020 through at least September 2020, within the Central District of California, defendant knowingly and willfully participated in a conspiracy to defraud the California Employment Development Department (EDD) of more than \$200,000 of COVID-related unemployment funds under the Pandemic Unemployment Assistance (PUA) provision of the federal CARES Act, a provision that was designed to help unemployed individuals obtain unemployment insurance benefits as part of the nation's response to the economic harms caused by COVID-19. As a further object of that fraudulent conspiracy, defendant fraudulently obtained money from Bank of America (BofA) by making false statements or promises, which she knew were false. While participating in the fraudulent conspiracy, defendant acted with the intent to defraud; that is, the intent to deceive and cheat.

In the fraudulent scheme, defendant and her co-conspirators would apply for benefits from California EDD in the names of third parties using false statements to qualify, and sometimes using stolen identities. Defendant would use Personally Identifiable Information (PII) of others in those fraudulent applications filed with EDD. During the fraudulent conspiracy, defendant would also send and receive text messages that contained PII, including names and dates of birth, of third parties.

Defendant would use her personal residence in Los Angeles, as well as a neighboring property, as the mailing addresses for a total of more than 15 fraudulent claims filed with California EDD from June 2020 through August 2020, in the names of individuals A.C., S.M., A.K., L.Y., S.C., S.K., K.C., J.P., T.J., J.M., T.N., E.A., L.A., M.N., T.C., V.G., and defendant. Those fraudulent claims resulted in mailings of Electronic Bill Payment (EBP) debit cards administered by BofA that defendant and her co-conspirators subsequently used to access the fraudulently obtained benefits. During the scheme, defendant and her co-conspirators used EDD debit cards in other people's names to make a total of more than \$70,000 in cash withdrawals from ATMs, mostly at BofA.

Many of the claims for benefits in the fraudulent conspiracy falsely asserted that the named claimants were self-employed and negatively affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, thereby triggering eligibility for unemployment benefits under the PUA provision of the CARES Act. The unemployment claims submitted in furtherance of the conspiracy falsely reported to EDD that the named claimants were located at defendant's and other residences and worked in California, when in truth and fact, many of those claimants had never lived or

worked in California. Several of the purported claimants were deceased, and at least one claimant was incarcerated at the time that defendant caused the fraudulent claims to be filed with California EDD. Moreover, the false claims would list almost identical employment information. For example, most of the claim applications that used defendant's residential address reported their usual occupation as "baker." In addition, defendant filed a claim for her son K.C., who was just 9 years old at the time.

On or about August 15, 2020, defendant caused a PUA claim in the name of M.N. to be filed online with California EDD, which falsely reported that M.N. resided at defendant's residence. That claim also falsely reported that M.N. was a baker in Fresno County with an annual salary of \$75,000, and that M.N.'s purported employment was allegedly negatively impacted by COVID 19 beginning in March 2020. Defendant fraudulently used M.N.'s identity to file that claim, because M.N. did not know defendant and did not give defendant or anyone else permission to file any claim in M.N.'s name. In truth and in fact, at the time of that claim, M.N. lived in Missouri, and M.N. has never lived nor been employed in California. On or about August 16, 2020, California EDD issued a debit card in M.N.'s name, which was mailed to defendant's residence in Los Angeles and provided a total of \$22,800 in unemployment benefit funds.

Defendant and her co-conspirators would use the fraudulently obtained EDD debit cards in other people's names to withdraw cash from ATMs. On September 18, 2020, defendant used EBP debit card ending in 0538 in the name of S.M., a California prison inmate, to withdraw \$1,000 from a BofA ATM in Los Angeles, California.

Defendant and co-conspirators used that card in S.M.'s name to

2.4

withdraw a total of approximately \$10,000 in cash withdrawals from ATMs. At the time that defendant made those cash withdrawals using the BofA debit card in S.M.'s name, BofA was federally insured. The claim filed in S.M.'s name was fraudulent, because S.M. was incarcerated at the time, and thus, ineligible for COVID-related unemployment benefits. Defendant also sent and received text messages about filing fraudulent claims in the names of people in prison, which were obtained by search warrants. For example, on August 3, 2020, defendant sent a text message to a co-conspirator, which wrote: "I got 2 guys in prison want edd I'm gonna have [a co-conspirator] do it I will pay u 1500 to do me 2 emails and passwords for them."

The parties agree that for purposes of this plea agreement, the intended loss applicable to defendant's participation in the bank fraud conspiracy is approximately \$239,854 based upon approximately 17 fraudulent EDD claims, and during the fraudulent scheme, defendant possessed and used more than 10 means of identification of others.

SENTENCING FACTORS

14. Defendant understands that in determining defendant's sentence the Court is required to calculate the applicable Sentencing Guidelines range and to consider that range, possible departures under the Sentencing Guidelines, and the other sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). Defendant understands that the Sentencing Guidelines are advisory only, that defendant cannot have any expectation of receiving a sentence within the calculated Sentencing Guidelines range, and that after considering the Sentencing Guidelines and the other § 3553(a) factors, the Court will be free to exercise its discretion to impose any sentence it finds

```
appropriate up to the maximum set by statute for the crimes of
1
2
   conviction.
        15. Defendant and the USAO agree to the following applicable
3
   Sentencing Guidelines factors:
4
5
   Count one (bank fraud)
                                             U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(a)(1)
 6
      Base Offense Level:
                                    7
      $150k < loss < $250k: +10 U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(F)
7
                                    +2 U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(2)(A)
      >10 victims:
8
      Possess >5 means of ID: +2
                                          U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(11)(C)
9
10
   Count two (ID theft)
      Base Offense Level:
                                     6
                                             11
      150k < loss < 250k: +10 U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(1)(F)
12
     >10 victims:
                                   +2 U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(2)(A)
13
      Possess >5 means of ID: +2
                                          U.S.S.G. § 2B1.1(b)(11)(C)
14
15
   Multiple Count Adjustment
   Group One (counts 1 and 2): 1 unit U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4(a)
16
17
   Total Units:
                                1 unit
18
        Combined total offense level
                                         21
19
   Greatest group offense level:
                                         +0 U.S.S.G. § 3D1.4
20
   Grouping increase:
   Acceptance of Responsibility
                                         -3
                                              U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1
21
                                         18
2.2
    TOTAL OFFENSE LEVEL:
    The USAO will agree to a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance
23
    of responsibility (and, if applicable, move for an additional one-
2.4
    level downward adjustment under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1(b)) only if the
25
    conditions set forth in paragraph 3(c) are met and if defendant has
26
    not committed, and refrains from committing, acts constituting
27
    obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, as
28
```

discussed below. Subject to paragraph 29 below, defendant and the USAO agree not to seek, argue, or suggest in any way, either orally or in writing, that any other specific offense characteristics, adjustments, or departures relating to the offense level be imposed. Defendant agrees, however, that if, after signing this agreement but prior to sentencing, defendant were to commit an act, or the USAO were to discover a previously undiscovered act committed by defendant prior to signing this agreement, which act, in the judgment of the USAO, constituted obstruction of justice within the meaning of U.S.S.G. § 3C1.1, the USAO would be free to seek the enhancement set forth in that section and to argue that defendant is not entitled to a downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility under U.S.S.G. § 3E1.1.

- 16. Defendant understands that there is no agreement as to defendant's criminal history or criminal history category.
- 17. Defendant and the USAO reserve the right to argue for a sentence outside the sentencing range established by the Sentencing Guidelines based on the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. \S 3553(a)(1), (a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(6), and (a)(7).
- 18. Notwithstanding anything to the contrary in this agreement, defendant will not recommend, argue, or otherwise suggest that the Court impose a sentence of below 24 months of imprisonment.

WAIVER OF CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS

- 19. Defendant understands that by pleading guilty, defendant gives up the following rights:
 - a. The right to persist in a plea of not guilty.
 - b. The right to a speedy and public trial by jury.
 - c. The right to be represented by counsel -- and if

necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at trial. Defendant understands, however, that, defendant retains the right to be represented by counsel -- and if necessary have the Court appoint counsel -- at every other stage of the proceeding.

- d. The right to be presumed innocent and to have the burden of proof placed on the government to prove defendant guilty beyond a reasonable doubt.
- e. The right to confront and cross-examine witnesses against defendant.
- f. The right to testify and to present evidence in opposition to the charges, including the right to compel the attendance of witnesses to testify.
- g. The right not to be compelled to testify, and, if defendant chose not to testify or present evidence, to have that choice not be used against defendant.
- h. Any and all rights to pursue any affirmative defenses, Fourth Amendment or Fifth Amendment claims, and other pretrial motions that have been filed or could be filed.

WAIVER OF RETURN OF DIGITAL DATA

20. Understanding that the government has in its possession digital devices and/or digital media seized from defendant, defendant waives any right to the return of digital data contained on those digital devices and/or digital media and agrees that if any of these digital devices and/or digital media are returned to defendant, the government may delete all digital data from those digital devices and/or digital media before they are returned to defendant.

WAIVER OF APPEAL OF CONVICTIONS

21. Defendant understands that, with the exception of an appeal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

26

27

28

based on a claim that defendant's guilty pleas were involuntary, by pleading guilty defendant is waiving and giving up any right to appeal defendant's convictions on the offenses to which defendant is pleading guilty. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.

WAIVER OF APPEAL AND COLLATERAL ATTACK

- Defendant gives up the right to appeal all of the 22. following: (a) the procedures and calculations used to determine and impose any portion of the sentence; (b) the term of imprisonment imposed by the Court, including, to the extent permitted by law, the constitutionality or legality of defendant's sentence, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (c) the fine imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; (d) the amount and terms of any restitution order, provided it requires payment of no more than \$500,000; (e) the term of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court, provided it is within the statutory maximum; and (f) any of the following conditions of probation or supervised release imposed by the Court: the conditions set forth in Second Amended General Order 20-04 of this Court; the drug testing conditions mandated by 18 U.S.C. §§ 3563(a)(5) and 3583(d); and the alcohol and drug use conditions authorized by 18 U.S.C. § 3563(b)(7).
- 23. Defendant also gives up any right to bring a postconviction collateral attack on the convictions or sentence,
 including any order of restitution, except a post-conviction
 collateral attack based on a claim of ineffective assistance of

counsel, a claim of newly discovered evidence, or an explicitly retroactive change in the applicable Sentencing Guidelines, sentencing statutes, or statutes of conviction. Defendant understands that this waiver includes, but is not limited to, arguments that the statutes to which defendant is pleading guilty are unconstitutional, and any and all claims that the statement of facts provided herein is insufficient to support defendant's pleas of guilty.

24. This agreement does not affect in any way the right of the USAO to appeal the sentence imposed by the Court.

RESULT OF WITHDRAWAL OF GUILTY PLEA

25. Defendant agrees that if, after entering guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant seeks to withdraw and succeeds in withdrawing defendant's guilty pleas on any basis other than a claim and finding that entry into this plea agreement was involuntary, then the USAO will be relieved of all of its obligations under this agreement.

EFFECTIVE DATE OF AGREEMENT

26. This agreement is effective upon signature and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney.

BREACH OF AGREEMENT

27. Defendant agrees that if defendant, at any time after the signature of this agreement and execution of all required certifications by defendant, defendant's counsel, and an Assistant United States Attorney, knowingly violates or fails to perform any of defendant's obligations under this agreement ("a breach"), the USAO may declare this agreement breached. All of defendant's obligations

2.8

are material, a single breach of this agreement is sufficient for the USAO to declare a breach, and defendant shall not be deemed to have cured a breach without the express agreement of the USAO in writing. If the USAO declares this agreement breached, and the Court finds such a breach to have occurred, then: (a) if defendant has previously entered guilty pleas pursuant to this agreement, defendant will not be able to withdraw the guilty pleas, and (b) the USAO will be relieved of all its obligations under this agreement.

COURT AND UNITED STATES PROBATION AND PRETRIAL SERVICES OFFICE NOT PARTIES

- 28. Defendant understands that the Court and the United States
 Probation and Pretrial Services Office are not parties to this
 agreement and need not accept any of the USAO's sentencing
 recommendations or the parties' agreements to facts or sentencing
 factors.
- free to: (a) supplement the facts by supplying relevant information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, (b) correct any and all factual misstatements relating to the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and determination of sentence, and (c) argue on appeal and collateral review that the Court's Sentencing Guidelines calculations and the sentence it chooses to impose are not error, although each party agrees to maintain its view that the calculations in paragraph 15 are consistent with the facts of this case. While this paragraph permits both the USAO and defendant to submit full and complete factual information to the United States Probation and Pretrial Services Office and the Court, even if that factual information may be viewed

as inconsistent with the facts agreed to in this agreement, this paragraph does not affect defendant's and the USAO's obligations not to contest the facts agreed to in this agreement.

30. Defendant understands that even if the Court ignores any sentencing recommendation, finds facts or reaches conclusions different from those agreed to, and/or imposes any sentence up to the maximum established by statute, defendant cannot, for that reason, withdraw defendant's guilty pleas, and defendant will remain bound to fulfill all defendant's obligations under this agreement. Defendant understands that no one -- not the prosecutor, defendant's attorney, or the Court -- can make a binding prediction or promise regarding the sentence defendant will receive, except that it will be within the statutory maximum.

NO ADDITIONAL AGREEMENTS

31. Defendant understands that, except as set forth herein, there are no promises, understandings, or agreements between the USAO and defendant or defendant's attorney, and that no additional promise, understanding, or agreement may be entered into unless in a writing signed by all parties or on the record in court.

1///

21 1///

22 ///

PLEA AGREEMENT PART OF THE GUILTY PLEA HEARING

32. The parties agree that this agreement will be considered part of the record of defendant's guilty plea hearing as if the entire agreement had been read into the record of the proceeding.

AGREED AND ACCEPTED

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF

CALIFORNIA

E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney

CHARLES E. PELL Assistant United States Attorney

VANETDA CHAVETT

Defendant

HOLT ORTIZ ALDEN

DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

Attorney for Defendant VANETDA CHAVETT WARD

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT

I have read this agreement in its entirety. I have had enough time to review and consider this agreement, and I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of it with my attorney. I understand the terms of this agreement, and I voluntarily agree to those terms. I have discussed the evidence with my attorney, and my attorney has advised me of my rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. No promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to me other than those

contained in this agreement. No one has threatened or forced me in any way to enter into this agreement. I am satisfied with the representation of my attorney in this matter, and I am pleading guilty because I am guilty of the charges and wish to take advantage of the promises set forth in this agreement, and not for any other

reason

1.5

VANETDA CHAVETT WARD

Defendant

CERTIFICATION OF DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY

6-26-2024 2023

6-16-2023 Date

I am VANETDA CHAVETT WARD's attorney. I have carefully and thoroughly discussed every part of this agreement with my client. Further, I have fully advised my client of her rights, of possible pretrial motions that might be filed, of possible defenses that might be asserted either prior to or at trial, of the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), of relevant Sentencing Guidelines provisions, and of the consequences of entering into this agreement. To my knowledge: no promises, inducements, or representations of any kind have been made to my client other than those contained in this agreement; no one has threatened or forced my client in any way to enter into this agreement; my client's decision to enter into this agreement is an informed and voluntary one; and the factual basis set forth in this agreement is sufficient to support my client's entry of quilty pleas, pursuant to this agreement.

HOLT ORTIZ ALDEN

DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER

Attorney for Defendant VANETDA CHAVETT WARD

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, No. INFORMATION 11 Plaintiff, [18 U.S.C. § 1349: Conspiracy to 12 ∇ . Commit Bank Fraud; 18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7), (b)(1)(D): Unlawful 13 VANETDA CHAVETT WARD, Transfer, Possession, and Use of Means of Identification] Defendant. 14 15 16 The United States Attorney charges: COUNT ONE 17 [18 U.S.C. § 1349] 18 19 OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY Beginning on an unknown date, and continuing through at 20 least in or around September 2020, in Los Angeles County, within the 21 Central District of California, and elsewhere, defendant VANETDA 2.2 CHAVETT WARD knowingly conspired with others known and unknown to the 23 United States Attorney to commit bank fraud, in violation of Title 24 25 18, United States Code, Section 1344(2). MEANS BY WHICH THE OBJECT OF THE CONSPIRACY WAS TO BE 26 В. 27 ACCOMPLISHED The object of the conspiracy was to be accomplished, in 28

substance, as follows:

2.7

- a. Defendant WARD and her co-conspirators would submit fraudulent applications for California EDD unemployment benefits in other people's names to be filed using mailing addresses under defendant WARD's control, including her residence in Los Angeles, California.
- b. Based upon those fraudulent applications, California EDD would mail debit cards and other correspondence to the addresses listed on the applications, including to defendant WARD's residence.
- c. After the debit cards from California EDD were received, defendant WARD and her co-conspirators would use those EDD debit cards in the names of others to withdraw cash from Bank of America ATMs.

C. OVERT ACTS

3. In furtherance of the conspiracy, and to accomplish its object, defendant WARD, together with others known and unknown to the Grand Jury, on or about the date set forth below, committed and caused to be committed various overt acts, in the Central District of California, and elsewhere, including, but not limited to, the following:

Overt Act No. 1: On or about August 17, 2020, defendant WARD received a California EDD card in the name of M.N. at defendant WARD's residence, based upon a fraudulent application that had been filed using stolen identity M.N.

Overt Act No. 2: On or about September 18, 2020, defendant WARD used EDD debit card ending in 0538 in the name of S.M., a California prison inmate, to withdraw \$1,000 from a Bank of America ATM in Los Angeles, California, which was one of many ATM withdrawals totaling

Case 2:23-cr-00323-JFW Document 6 Filed 06/29/23 Page 23 of 24 Page ID #:36

approximately \$11,800 that defendant did using that fraudulently obtained EDD debit card.

COUNT TWO

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

2223

24

25

26

2728

7 1000/51/

[18 U.S.C. §§ 1028(a)(7), (b)(1)(D)]

4. On or about August 16, 2020, in Los Angeles County, within the Central District of California, defendant VANETDA CHAVETT WARD knowingly transferred, possessed, and used, without lawful authority, means of identification of another person, namely, the name and debit card number of M.N., in defendant WARD's residence in Los Angeles, California, with the intent to commit, to aid and abet the commission of, and in connection with the commission of, a violation of Federal law, namely, Conspiracy to Commit Bank Fraud, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1349, with said transfer, possession, and use affecting interstate and foreign commerce and resulting in obtaining anything of value aggregating \$1,000 or more during any one year period.

E. MARTIN ESTRADA United States Attorney

MACK E. JENKINS
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief, Criminal Division

BENJAMIN R. BARRON Assistant United States Attorney Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office

BRADLEY E. MARRETT Assistant United States Attorney Deputy Chief, Santa Ana Branch Office

CHARLES E. PELL Assistant United States Attorney Santa Ana Branch Office